



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING I	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/613,749	9/613,749 07/11/2000		Satoshi Suzuki	400762/AOYAMA	1153
23548	7590	06/27/2002			
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD 700 THIRTEENTH ST. NW SUITE 300				EXAMINER	
				RAO, SHRINIVAS H	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3960				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2814	
				DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 06/27/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/613,749	SUZUKI ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Steven H. Rao	2814				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 24 June 2002 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated abandment whicles	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offictimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amouthe shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main CFR 1.704(b).	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or ling date of the final rejection, even if				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
<ul><li>(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li></ul>						
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .  3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		idered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	t(s) a) $oxtimes$ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided belo	)□ will be entered and an ow or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-9 and 11-14</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. Other:						
<del></del>						

June 24 02

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The previous Section 112 rejection of claim 7 was based on the fact that the application as originally filed only discloses both the first and second channel regions are of the width. Therefore the previous or proposed recitation that one of the two channels is of certain width is not supported by the specification as originally which supports only one width for all channel regions. Applicants' contention that the combination of references does not teach gate electrodes with multiple bends within the active region of the structure with insulating regions beneath those bending portions in the active region of the semiconductor substrate. Firstly the claims as presently recited do not include the limitation of the bends being only within the active regions. Assuming arguendo, the limitation is in the claims The rejection is based on the combined teachings of Tsutsui, Tozawa, Taguchi and Kobayashi and Tozawa at least in fig. 1 A shows a similar structure with the bends in the active region and an insulator beneath it.

OLIK CHAUDHURI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800